Onset of quantum criticality in the topological-to-nematic transition in a two-dimensional electron gas at filling factor $\nu = 5/2$
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Under hydrostatic pressure, the ground state of a two-dimensional electron gas at $\nu = 5/2$ changes from a fractional quantum Hall state to the stripe phase. By measuring the energy gap of the fractional quantum Hall state and of the onset temperature of the stripe phase, we mapped out a phase diagram of these competing phases in the pressure-temperature plane. Our data highlight the dichotomy of two descriptions of the half-filled Landau level near the quantum critical point: one based on electrons and another on composite fermions.
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The fractional quantum Hall state (FQHS) at the Landau level filling factor $\nu = 5/2$ remains one of the most enigmatic ground states of two-dimensional electron gases subjected to a perpendicular magnetic field [1,2]. This FQHS, similarly to all other FQHSs [3,4], is topologically ordered, is believed to belong to the Pfaffian universality class [5–17], and is thought to support non-Abelian excitations [5]. Within the framework of the composite fermion theory [18,19], the $\nu = 5/2$ FQHS can be understood as being due to pairing of composite fermions, a pairing driven by the residual attractive interactions between composite fermions [5,20–25].

Our recent measurements [26] revealed that the ground state at $\nu = 5/2$ undergoes a pressure-driven quantum phase transition from the FQHS to a stripe phase [27–37]. In this experiment, the two-dimensional electron gas was not exposed to an in-plane magnetic field or any other externally applied symmetry breaking fields [26]. This phase transition is intriguing since it does not belong to the class of topological phase transitions in which both phases involved are topologically nontrivial [38–43]. Indeed, these two phases have fundamentally different orders: The $\nu = 5/2$ FQHS is topologically ordered, while the stripe phase is a traditional broken symmetry phase supporting nematic order [44–46].

In this Rapid Communication, we present finite temperature measurements of the FQHS and the pressure-induced stripe phase at $\nu = 5/2$. The obtained data allow us to extract energy scales of these two phases, the energy gap of the $\nu = 5/2$ FQHS and the onset temperature of the stripe phase, in particular. Using these quantities we trace a phase diagram in the pressure-temperature plane. This phase diagram is an example of a diagram exhibiting quantum criticality due to the competition of a topological and a traditional broken symmetry phase. Theoretical details of the transition studied have not yet been worked out. We therefore expect our results to motivate future work on competing phases in topological materials.

We measured a two-dimensional electron gas with a density of $n = 2.8 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$ and mobility of $\mu = 15 \times 10^6$ cm$^2$/Vs confined to a GaAs/AlGaAs quantum well [26,47,48]. The sample was mounted in a pressure clamp cell [49]. As shown in the Supplemental Material [50], both the electron density and the mobility decrease with increasing pressure [51]. Since the pressure cannot be changed in situ, the sample is warmed up to room temperature every time we change the pressure. Pressures quoted throughout this Rapid Communication are measured at low temperatures and temperatures reported are measured on the mixing chamber.

In Fig. 1 we show the dependence of the longitudinal magnetoresistance for the Landau filling factor range $2 < \nu < 3$ on temperature and pressure. We have measured the magnetoresistance along two different crystallographic directions of the GaAs host: $R_{xx}$ is measured along the [110] crystallographic direction, while $R_{yy}$ along the [110] direction. Our analysis is focused at $\nu = 5/2$, marked by the vertical dashed lines in Fig. 1. In the following, we discuss the three different ground states stabilized at $\nu = 5/2$ at different values of pressure.

At $P = 6.95$ kbar and $T = 12$ mK, the longitudinal magnetoresistance near $\nu = 5/2$ is vanishingly small and nearly isotropic. Such a behavior, together with a quantized Hall resistance [26], indicates the presence of a FQHS at $\nu = 5/2$ [1,2]. The density of states of the FQHS at $\nu = 5/2$, similarly to that of any other FQHS, has an energy gap, hence this FQHS is an incompressible quantum liquid [1,2].

In contrast, at $P = 8.71$ kbar and $T = 13$ mK, the longitudinal magnetoresistance at $\nu = 5/2$ is strongly anisotropic. The anisotropic magnetoresistances we observe at $\nu = 5/2$ and $P = 8.71$ kbar are identical in all aspects to that of the prototype stripe phase forming at $\nu = 9/2$ and at other filling factors [27–37]. Indeed, anisotropy at both of these filling factors develops in the absence of the application of any in-plane $B$ field and in a very limited range of filling factors of width $\Delta \nu \approx 0.15$ around the half-integer value [26,30–37]. In the absence of an energy gap in the density of states, the stripe phase at $\nu = 5/2$ is compressible [30–37].

The stripe phase we observe at $\nu = 5/2$ is likely related to nematic phases developing in the two-dimensional electron gas but under different experimental conditions, specifically with the application of an in-plane $B$ field. Indeed, nematicity at $\nu = 5/2$ is induced in the presence of a sizable in-plane $B$
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FQHS, at 7.60 kbar it is a nearly isotropic Fermi fluid, and at 8.71 an vertical dashed lines mark the onset temperature for nematicity $T_{\text{onset}}$. The vertical dashed line marks the onset temperature for nematicity $T_{\text{onset}}$.

field but, in contrast to our observations, anisotropy under tilt develops over a very wide $\Delta \nu \approx 0.6$ range [52–56]. Nematic phases are also reported in Refs. [57,58], but these phases, in contrast to the stripe phase we observe, are incompressible.

At $P = 7.60$ kbar and $T = 12$ mK, the magnetoresistance at $\nu = 5/2$ remains finite, featureless, and nearly isotropic [26]. Such a behavior signals that the ground state cannot be a FQHS or a stripe phase. A similar behavior of the magnetoresistance was observed at $\nu = 1/2$ and was associated with Fermi-liquid behavior [59]. We therefore interpret our data at $P = 7.60$ kbar and $T = 12$ mK as evidence for a Fermi-liquid-like state.

Magnetoresistance data shown in the lowest row of panels of Fig. 1 demonstrate that the ground state at $\nu = 5/2$ as measured near 12 mK evolves from a FQHS toward an electronic stripe phase as the pressure is increased [26]. Figure 1 also shows how a rising temperature changes the magnetoresistance at $\nu = 5/2$. As a rule, at higher temperatures, features of the magnetoresistance become less pronounced. For example, at $P = 6.95$ kbar, there is an increase of the magnetoresistance at $\nu = 5/2$ as the temperature is raised from 12 to 25 mK. This indicates an enhanced generation of thermally activated excitations in the FQHS. In addition, at $P = 8.71$ kbar, the degree of anisotropy of the stripe phase measured at $T = 26$ mK is weaker than that measured at $T = 13$ mK.

In order to describe the temperature evolution of the observed ground states, we extract a characteristic energy scale associated with them. A FQHS is characterized by the energy gap $\Delta$ of the excitations with respect to the ground state. The longitudinal magnetoresistance in the presence of an energy gap $\Delta$ in the density of states is proportional to $\exp(-\Delta/2\kappa_B T)$, a relationship often referred to as the activated behavior. Figure 2(a) shows the activated behavior of the FQHS at $\nu = 5/2$ and also the extracted energy gaps $\Delta$ of the $\nu = 5/2$ FQHS at $P = 2.58$ and 6.95 kbar. We find that the energy gap of the $\nu = 5/2$ FQHS decreases with increasing pressure.

The temperature dependence of the stripe phase at $\nu = 5/2$ is shown for $P = 8.71$ kbar in Fig. 2(b). At relatively high temperatures, exceeding 40 mK, the magnetoresistance is nearly isotropic. In our sample we observe a small difference between $R_{xx}$ and $R_{yy}$ which is often seen in experiments and is commonly attributed to imperfections in the sample geometry. Indeed, since the side of our sample is only 2 mm long and the indium ohmic contacts are applied by soldering, there is likely a small geometric difference between the $xx$ and $yy$ sides of the sample. While we do not observe any obvious signatures of density gradients in our sample [60], it is possible that small variations around the mean pressure result in small density fluctuations which may also influence the magnetoresistance. In contrast to the behavior of $R_{xx}$ and $R_{yy}$ taken above 40 mK, $R_{xx}$ and $R_{yy}$ sharply deviate from one another at lower temperatures [30–33]. As seen in Fig. 2(b), the $R_{xx}/R_{yy}$ ratio of the resistances in the two different crystallographic directions exceeds three orders of magnitude at the lowest temperatures. The relatively abrupt onset of anisotropy is a hallmark property for the stripe phase and it defines the onset temperature for nematicity $T_{\text{onset}}$. We estimate $T_{\text{onset}}$ by imposing a significant anisotropy $R_{xx} = 2R_{yy}$ in the linearly interpolated data. The dashed line in Fig. 2(b) marks $T_{\text{onset}}$ obtained this way at $P = 8.71$ kbar.

The dependence on the pressure of the energy gap of the $\nu = 5/2$ FQHS and of the estimated onset temperature of the stripe phase at $\nu = 5/2$ are summarized in Fig. 3. We observe that the energy gap of the $\nu = 5/2$ FQHS is monotonically suppressed with increasing pressure. At higher pressures we find that the stripe phase is stabilized at $\nu = 5/2$. In Fig. 3, the dashed red line is a guide to the eye for the energy gap of the $\nu = 5/2$ FQHS and the dashed blue line for the onset temperature of the stripe phase at $\nu = 5/2$. 

FIG. 1. The evolution of magnetotransport between $\nu = 2$ and 3 at three temperature and three pressure values. The green lines show $R_{xx}$ measured along the [110] crystallographic direction of the GaAs host, while the red lines $R_{yy}$ measured along [110]. The longer vertical dashed lines mark $\nu = 5/2$, while the shorter dotted lines are at $\nu = 7/3$ and 8/3. The ground state at $\nu = 5/2$ and at 6.95 kbar is a FQHS, at 7.60 kbar it is a nearly isotropic Fermi fluid, and at 8.71 an electronic stripe phase. Data sets at the lowest temperature for 6.95 and 7.60 kbar are from Ref. [26].
with an increasing pressure, the topological order of the FQHS is destroyed while the nematic order is acquired.

Obtaining more detailed data near $P_C$ is quite challenging due to the inability to change the pressure in situ, a limitation of the technique we use. We emphasize that a direct phase transition at $T = 0$ remains the simplest, most elegant interpretation of our data. Any cut in the phase diagram at a finite temperature below the onset of stripes will reveal the FQHS, Fermi liquid, and stripe sequence of phases as the pressure is increased.

We think that the phase competition shown in Fig. 3 originates from a delicate tuning of the effective electron-electron interaction with pressure. Early numerical work provided the insight that a transition from a FQHS to a stripe phase is possible at half filling when the interaction between the electrons deviates from its Coulomb expression [23,62,63]. However, until very recently [26] it was not clear whether such peculiar interactions favoring the stripe phase can be experimentally realized at $\nu = 5/2$. One way to tune the effective electron-electron interaction is through changing the effective width of the quantum well [23]. It was later found that besides the quantum well width, the Landau level mixing parameter [64] must also be constrained to stabilize the stripe phase at $\nu = 5/2$. [26]. We think one of these effects or perhaps their combination is responsible for the stabilization of the stripe phase.

A model for the transition from the FQHS to a nematic phase at half filling has recently been formulated [44]. In this model, the nematic phase is stabilized by a quadrupolar interaction between the electrons. In the presence of this type of interaction, it is found that the Fermi-liquid behavior can be destroyed either by fluctuations in the Chern-Simons gauge fields or by the nematic order parameter [44]. As a result, a direct quantum phase transition from the paired FQHS to the nematic phase was obtained [44]. Transitions from a FQHS to stripes at a half-filled Landau level were also found in theoretical work considering the interplay between nematic and gauge fluctuations [45] and when a changing mass anisotropy is present in the system [46].

Nonetheless, our understanding of the phase transition near the quantum critical point is still incomplete. Below the critical pressure, a FQHS requires the existence of composite fermions [5]. In contrast, composite fermions are not required to account for the stripe phase above the critical pressure [27–29]. The existence of a quantum critical point in Fig. 3 thus highlights the dichotomy of the two descriptions of the half-filled Landau level: one based on electrons [27–29] and another on composite fermions [18,19].

Interest in the half-filled Landau level was recently rekindled by theories according to which the composite fermions are Dirac-like at exact particle-hole symmetry [65–68]. These theories naturally account for a Fermi sea and for a FQHS at half filling, but do not accommodate the formation of the stripe phase [65]. In our experiment, particle-hole symmetry is broken due to significant Landau level mixing and finite width effects, therefore these theories most likely do not strictly apply.

Finally, we note that the FQHSs developing at $\nu = 7/3$ and $8/3$ deteriorate near the quantum critical point. Indeed, from the data from Fig. 1 at $P = 6.95$ and 8.71 kbar, the presence...
of depressions in the magnetoresistance at \( v = 7/3 \) and 8/3 at the lowest temperatures reached indicates weak FQHSs at these filling factors. However, at the intermediate pressure \( P = 7.60 \) kbar and \( T = 12 \) mK, these weak depressions at \( v = 7/3 \) and 8/3 have virtually disappeared. In the vicinity of the critical pressure we thus observe a conspicuous loss of electronic correlations responsible for the \( v = 7/3 \) and 8/3 FQHSs. One possibility is that such a deterioration of the FQHSs at \( v = 7/3 \) and 8/3 near the quantum critical point could be due to enhanced quantum fluctuations.

To conclude, we have measured the pressure-dependent energy gap of the FQHS at \( v = 5/2 \) and the onset temperature of the stripe phase developing at the same filling factor. These quantities allowed us to map out the phase diagram near the instability of the parent Fermi sea toward a FQHS and toward stripes in the \( P-T \) parameter space. We found that finite temperature measurements corroborate with the interpretation of a direct phase transition from the FQHS to the stripe phase in the limit of zero temperatures. We have thus demonstrated that the two-dimensional electron gas at \( v = 5/2 \) is a model system which supports competing topological and traditional nematic orders in the \( P-T \) parameter space.
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