High-mobility InAs 2DEGs on GaSb substrates: A platform for mesoscopic quantum transport
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High mobility, strong spin-orbit coupling, and large Landé g factor make the two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG) in InAs quantum wells grown on nearly lattice-matched GaSb substrates an attractive platform for mesoscopic transport experiments. Successful operation of mesoscopic devices relies on three key properties: electrical isolation from the substrate, ability to fully deplete the 2DEG and control residual sidewall conduction with lithographic gates, and high mobility to ensure ballistic transport over mesoscopic length scales. Simultaneous demonstration of these properties has remained elusive for InAs 2DEGs on GaSb substrates. Here we report on heterostructure design, molecular beam epitaxy growth, and device fabrication that result in high carrier mobility and full 2DEG depletion with minimal residual edge conduction. Our results provide a pathway to fully controlled 2DEG-based InAs mesoscopic devices.
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1. INTRODUCTION

With low effective mass, strong spin-orbit coupling, and high Landé g factor [1], the two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG) in InAs quantum wells is an interesting platform for mesoscopic physics experiments, but only a few realizations of mesoscopic devices have been reported with trench-etched quantum point contacts, e.g., [2,3]. More recently, lithographically defined nanowires comprised of the s-wave superconductor aluminum proximity-coupled to InAs 2DEG grown on semi-insulating InP have experimentally revealed evidence of Majorana zero modes [4,5]. Motivated by the possibility to explore mesoscopic phenomena and novel topological states in a lower disorder environment, significant effort is now dedicated to improvement of InAs 2DEG electronic properties. For example, the growth of composite quantum wells of InGaAs/InAs [6] enabled improvement of the carrier mobility to $\mu = 1.0 \times 10^5$ cm$^2$/Vs for InAs quantum wells buried 120 nm below the top surface and grown on semi-insulating (100) InP substrates [7]. However, growth of InAs on InP substrates must accommodate the nearly 3.5% lattice mismatch to InAs through the use of thick graded buffer layers that introduce dislocations and modify surface morphology. By growing InAs quantum wells on quasi-lattice-matched (100) GaSb substrates, 2DEG mobility has reached $\mu \sim 2.4 \times 10^6$ cm$^2$/Vs at density $n \sim 1 \times 10^{12}$ cm$^{-2}$ [8], for a quantum well buried 25 nm from the top surface, through reduced defect generation and use of AlGaSb barriers that increase electron wave-function confinement. Many-body effects associated with the fractional quantum Hall effect [9] have been recently reported on such structures, indicative of improved quality.

However, mesoscopic experiments using high-quality InAs 2DEGs on GaSb substrates still present challenges. Specific limitations include: (1) parasitic conduction through the substrate; due to the small band gap of GaSb, substrates are not perfectly insulating, which may limit operation of high impedance quantum devices in the tunneling regime; (2) the inability to fully deplete the 2DEG and residual sidewall conduction with surface gates. High-mobility 2DEGs utilizing a GaSb buffer layer have been successfully grown [8]. However, simple GaSb buffers are not expected to result in sufficient isolation from the GaSb substrate. Growth of lattice-matched buffers composed of higher-band-gap materials such as AlSb$_x$As$_{1-x}$ [10,11] or Al$_y$Ga$_{1-y}$Sb$_z$As$_{1-z}$ [12] appears promising to address these issues, but no data have been published detailing device isolation or full 2DEG depletion. Additionally, it has been reported that high-mobility InAs 2DEGs suffer from excess conductance attributed to sidewall conduction, most probably associated with charge accumulation at the exposed InAs edge. Mueller et al. [13] reported edge resistivity of 1.3–2.5 kΩ/µm. Edge resistivity was subsequently increased to 72.8 kΩ/µm by Mittag and co-workers by incorporating an Al$_2$O$_3$ gate dielectric deposited by atomic layer deposition (ALD) on a heterostructure designed to host a low-density ($n \sim 5 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$) InAs 2DEG [14].

Here we report on the design, fabrication, and operation of devices based on InAs 2DEGs on (100) GaSb substrates that address the aforementioned limitations. To better understand the impact of epilayer design on device performance, a series of heterostructures was grown by molecular beam epitaxy with various buffer and cap layer materials. Buffer layers must electrically isolate the 2DEG from the substrate, and cap layers that terminate the semiconductor stack must promote strong electrostatic gate control. Our principal results can be summarized as follows. With its large band gap, a buffer layer of the quaternary compound Al$_{0.8}$Ga$_{0.2}$Sb$_{0.93}$As$_{0.07}$ is...
found to effectively isolate the 2DEG from the GaSb substrate and yields mesa device isolation larger than 1 GΩ. Moreover, the precise lattice match of Al0.8Ga0.2Sb 0.93As0.07 with GaSb enables the growth of InAs quantum wells on a dislocation-free buffer resulting in electron mobility $\mu = 2.1 \times 10^6$ cm$^2$/Vs at density $n = 6.7 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$. A thin InAs cap layer has enabled complete depletion of the 2DEG with minimal residual sidewall conduction and has proved to be favorable in this context over the commonly used GaSb [8,12]. Interestingly, the choice of an InAs or GaSb capping layer dramatically impacts scattering in the 2DEG. The GaSb cap layer appears to screen the 2DEG from surface defect scattering, enhancing mobility, but simultaneously prevents full 2DEG depletion. The InAs cap layer does not screen surface charge as efficiently but does facilitate full 2DEG depletion.

II. MOLECULAR BEAM EPITAXY GROWTH AND DEVICE FABRICATION

InAs-based 2DEGs [see Fig. 1(a) for a typical layer stack] were grown on undoped GaSb (100) substrates by molecular beam epitaxy in a Veeco Gen 930 using ultrahigh-purity techniques and methods as described in Ref. [15]. Substrate temperature was measured by blackbody radiation emission. To improve epilayer morphology, the native oxide of the substrate was removed at 400 °C using atomic hydrogen without Sb flux. This process is found to improve surface morphology significantly by limiting the formation of surface defects generated during thermal oxide desorption [16]. The substrate temperature was then increased to 500 °C under Sb flux and growth was initiated with a 25-nm smoothing layer of GaSb followed by a thick buffer layer of 800 nm. Five samples (A–E) were grown to investigate buffer layers of varying complexity, ranging from a pure GaSb to Al0.8Ga0.2Sb 0.93As0.07 lattice matched to GaSb, as summarized in Table I. Precise control of substrate temperature is of principal importance for the ternary AlSb$y$As$_{1−y}$ (sample D) and the quaternary Al$_{1-x}$Ga$_x$As$_{1−y}$ (sample E) layers, since it directly controls the incorporation ratio of Sb and As and thus the lattice parameter. Growth of Al(Ga)SbAs compounds (samples D and E) following the hydrogen-assisted oxide removal process enables smooth buffer layers to be grown free of pyramidal defects typically observed on the surface of GaSb grown on GaSb [17].

The active region of the heterostructure is composed of 24 nm of InAs flanked by Al$_{1-x}$Ga$_x$Sb barriers with $x = 0.8$. The top barrier thickness is 20 nm unless otherwise specified. Finally, the growth was terminated by deposition of a cap layer, whose primary role is to avoid oxidation of the underlying Sb-containing layers and prevent formation of parasitic conduction channels. As we shall demonstrate, the cap layer plays a crucial role for electrostatic control of the 2DEG. GaSb, AlG(Sb)As, and InAs cap layers were explored in three different samples (E–G). For all samples in this study, transitions between InAs- and Sb-containing compounds made use of a shutter sequence pioneered in Ref. [18]. The growth of InAs was performed with an effective As-to-In ratio slightly larger than 1 to prevent the formation of void defects associated with As etching of the Sb-based layer underneath. Under these conditions, a flat surface morphology was obtained with a roughness on the order of a monolayer. Throughout the entire growth the Sb flux was kept constant with a beam equivalent pressure of $4.0 \times 10^{-7}$ Torr.

Devices were processed with standard wet etching techniques to define straight and L-shaped Hall bars of width $w = 50$ and 150 $\mu$m to measure transport along different crystallographic directions. To avoid complications arising from oxidation of etched surfaces, we first deposit ohmic Ti/Ni/Au (20/200/100 nm) contacts. Our samples are then etched with a $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ : citric monohydrate : $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$ : $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ (220 mL : 55 g : 3 mL : 5 mL) solution to define the Hall bar mesas, where
Table I. Mesa-to-mesa isolation resistance between etched Hall bars. Buffer-layer column is the terminated layer composition to which the Hall bars are etched, and cap-layer column is the material that terminates the surface. Finally, the 4th–6th columns are the order-of-magnitude resistances between etched mesas for different applied dielectrics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Buffer layer</th>
<th>Cap layer (Thickness)</th>
<th>Si$_3$N$_4$</th>
<th>Al$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>HfO$_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>GaSb</td>
<td>GaSb (2 nm)</td>
<td>10–100 kΩ</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>GaSb/AlSb SL</td>
<td>GaSb (2 nm)</td>
<td>1 MΩ</td>
<td>1 MΩ</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Al$<em>{0.35}$Ga$</em>{0.65}$Sb</td>
<td>GaSb (2 nm)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1 MΩ</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>AlSb$<em>{0.91}$As$</em>{0.09}$</td>
<td>GaSb (2 nm)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1 MΩ</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Al$<em>{0.8}$Ga$</em>{0.2}$Sb$<em>{0.93}$As$</em>{0.07}$</td>
<td>Al$<em>{0.8}$Ga$</em>{0.2}$Sb$<em>{0.93}$As$</em>{0.07}$ (3 nm)</td>
<td>&gt;GΩ</td>
<td>&gt;GΩ</td>
<td>&gt;GΩ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Al$<em>{0.8}$Ga$</em>{0.2}$Sb$<em>{0.93}$As$</em>{0.07}$</td>
<td>GaSb (2 nm)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>&gt;GΩ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Al$<em>{0.8}$Ga$</em>{0.2}$Sb$<em>{0.93}$As$</em>{0.07}$</td>
<td>InAs (2 nm)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>&gt;GΩ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III. RESULTS

A. Device isolation

Samples were measured in a $^3$He system at a base temperature of $T = 300$ mK using standard low-frequency lock-in and dc techniques. We first discuss the impact of heterostructure design on device electrical isolation. Mesoscopic devices must be well isolated from the substrate in order to operate in a high-impedance configuration. We test the degree of isolation by applying dc voltage bias between ohmic contacts on neighboring mesas and monitoring the current that flows between them.

The resistance between different etched Hall bars was measured by floating all contacts on two adjacent Hall bars, except one test contact on each mesa, and applying a voltage between the two mesas. This configuration forces any current flow between the mesas to, presumably, occur either at the interface of the etched semiconductor layer and the dielectric or down through the buffer layers into the weakly conducting interface of the etched semiconductor layer and the dielectric.

We first consider sample A, where GaSb has been used as the buffer layer, similarly to Ref. [8]. A low resistance between mesas on the order of 10–100 kΩ is measured with Si$_3$N$_4$ as a dielectric. For sample B, the GaSb buffer is terminated with a superlattice (SL) made of 1-nm-thick GaSb and 1-nm-thick AlSb layers, repeated 100 times. With the same Si$_3$N$_4$ dielectric, the incorporation of the SL results in an increase of the mesa-to-mesa resistance to the order of a few MΩs. While this constitutes a significant improvement over the bare GaSb buffer, the resistance is still not sufficient to isolate nanostructures as efficiently as a semi-insulating substrate. Sample C is comprised of an Al$_{0.35}$Ga$_{0.65}$Sb buffer that has a larger band gap (1.2 eV [20]) but is not well lattice-matched (mismatch of 0.23%) in comparison with the GaSb buffer of sample A. Sample D has a buffer made of the ternary alloy AlSb$_{0.91}$As$_{0.09}$ that is characterized by a band gap twice as large (2.4 eV [20]) and better lattice matching to GaSb (mismatch $\lesssim -0.1\%$) than sample C. For these samples, Al$_2$O$_3$ is used as dielectric to reduce the thermal budget during processing. Despite the nominally better intrinsic properties of these two buffer materials, we still measured a resistance of a few MΩs. Sufficient isolation, $>GΩ$, was only obtained by increasing the complexity of the buffer layer to the quaternary Al$_{0.8}$Ga$_{0.2}$Sb$_{0.93}$As$_{0.07}$ alloy, which is used for samples E–G. Note that Al$_{0.8}$Ga$_{0.2}$Sb$_{0.93}$As$_{0.07}$ is fully lattice matched to the underlying GaSb substrate. We additionally infer that the addition of gallium and arsenic to the buffer limits the oxidation of the exposed buffer layer surface after the mesa etch. For sample E, three chips were prepared with the three different dielectric materials: Si$_3$N$_4$, Al$_2$O$_3$, and HfO$_2$, and all gave device isolation $>GΩ$. In the remainder of this manuscript, the measurements presented for sample E are on devices having HfO$_2$ as dielectric. These results highlight the primary importance of the epitaxial buffer layer material over the particular dielectric used for improvement of device isolation.

B. Structural characterization

With the validation of the electronic properties of the Al$_{0.8}$Ga$_{0.2}$Sb$_{0.93}$As$_{0.07}$ buffer, we comment on its structural properties. To determine the strain state and test for possible relaxation in these heterostructures, high-resolution x-ray reciprocal space mapping (RSM) has been performed using a $\chi$' pert PANalytical diffractometer. Performing RSM along an asymmetric reflection provides insight into the in- and out-of-plane lattice parameters and thus enables extraction of the strain profile of the different layers of our heterostructures. Figure 1(b) displays an RSM centered on the GaSb asymmetric (224) diffraction peak using a glancing exit configuration. The mapping has been collected over a $2\theta - \omega$ range of 4° with 0.02° steps and an $\omega$ range of 1.6° with steps of 0.02°. Note that with the use of a copper x-ray tube,
an x-ray mirror, and a channel-cut Ge (220) crystal, photons were provided at an energy of 8.048 keV and a divergence of 0.008°. The acceptance angle of the detector was 0.2°. The Al0.8Ga0.2Sb0.93As0.07 and GaSb peaks cannot be resolved, which indicates good lattice matching of the buffer to the substrate. All peaks share a common in-plane scattering vector (\(q_{\parallel} = -0.4640 \text{ Å}^{-1}\)), which confirms the constituent layers possess the same in-plane lattice parameter. The vertical alignment of peaks in the RSM demonstrates all layers are coherently strained and there is no evidence of relaxation. The crystalline quality of this heterostructure is confirmed by scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM). STEM was performed using a Thermo Scientific Talos 200X TEM and images were acquired with a high-angle annular dark field along the [110] zone axis. The STEM image of Fig. 1(c) highlights a uniform AlGaSbAs buffer layer with no evidence of threading dislocations.

C. Carrier depletion

The second identified challenge for InAs/GaSb heterostructures is the inability to fully deplete the carriers in fabricated devices. Given that we employ high-band-gap materials for both the barriers surrounding the quantum well and the buffer [see the band structure of Fig. 1(a)], we focus our attention on the capping layer as the possible location of bands crossing the Fermi level that may limit full depletion of the 2DEG. Selection of GaSb as the cap layer (sample F) is natural because it is lattice matched to the structure and Ga compounds are known to inhibit oxide formation better than Al-rich compounds, such as those used as our top barrier. This choice is widely reported with various GaSb capping layer thicknesses [8,10]. Full carrier depletion, however, was not observed in our experiments. This observation is corroborated by NEXTNANO simulations [19] that suggest an absence of a clear depletion region without hole accumulation.

In Fig. 2(a) we present magnetotransport data for sample E that employs a higher band gap 3-nm-thick Al0.8Ga0.2Sb0.93As0.07 cap layer. Note that, at most, a 5% anisotropy between the [011] and [011] crystallographic directions has been detected for all samples, so only the [011] direction will be considered for the remainder of the manuscript. Here we observe well-defined quantum plateaus of the Hall resistance \(\rho_{xy}\) with corresponding vanishing minima of the longitudinal resistance \(\rho_{xx}\) indicative of high-quality transport without significant parallel conduction.

Despite the excellent transport and very high 2DEG mobility, this device does not fully deplete, as demonstrated in Fig. 2(b). Starting from zero gate voltage, a linear relation between the carrier density \(n\) and the gate voltage \(V_G\) is observed for \(-0.5 < V_G \leq 0 \text{ V}\) and would extrapolate to full depletion of the device at \(V_G \approx -0.7 \text{ V}\). However, for \(V_G \leq -0.5 \text{ V}\), the density is no longer linearly varying with \(V_G\). A saturation of \(n\) is instead observed for \(V_G \approx -0.7 \text{ V}\) with \(n \sim 2.0 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}\). The \(V_G\) sweep is truncated at \(V_G = -1 \text{ V}\).

Within the saturation regime, \(n\) is not stable and increases with time \(t\), as indicated in the inset of Fig. 2(b). After \(t \sim 15 \text{ min}\), the density equilibrates at \(n \sim 3.2 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}\), which represents an increase of \(1.2 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}\) at fixed gate voltage. Once \(n\) is stabilized, magnetotransport measurements in this low-density regime demonstrate high-quality transport with well-defined integer quantum Hall effects through both vanishing minima of the longitudinal resistance and quantized Hall plateaus, as shown in Fig. 2(c). High carrier mobility of \(\mu \sim 1.0 \times 10^{6} \text{ cm}^{2}/\text{Vs}\) is demonstrated.

These results clearly indicate that the gate does not fully deplete the 2DEG in the quantum well. Furthermore, the magnetotransport data of Fig. 2(c) does not appear to be consistent with trivial InAs edge conduction, as reported in Ref. [13]. The high mobility maintained at low density suggests the 2DEG is well screened from charged scattering sites that invariably must exist at the dielectric/semiconductor interface. Taken as a whole, these data suggest the formation of a mobile screening layer present between the electrostatic gate and the 2DEG. Note that this screening layer makes the electrostatic control of the 2DEG difficult with large hysteresis (data not...
FIG. 3. (a) Gate voltage dependence of the resistance per square (left axis, semilog scale) and conductivity (right axis) through a straight Hall bar fabricated from sample G. (b) Magnetotransport near mobility peak $\mu = 8.2 \times 10^6$ cm$^2$/Vs for $n = 7.0 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$ for sample G.

shown here) and instability at large negative gate voltage bias $V_G \leq -0.5$ V. However, no hysteresis is observed for $-0.5 \leq V_G \leq 0$ V, suggesting that charge accumulation at the interface is limited and has a minor impact in this gate voltage range. It is worth noting that similar results were obtained for sample F, where the 2DEG density in the saturation regime was $n \sim 1.3 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$ and an equilibrium density of $n \sim 2.5 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$ was observed.

The gate response of sample G, grown with a 2-nm InAs cap layer, is investigated next. Figure 3(a) displays the resistance per square, $r$ (left axis), and conductance, $\sigma$ (right axis), versus $V_G$ obtained by biasing the source and drain contacts of a straight Hall bar of width 150 $\mu$m and length 1200 $\mu$m. It is worth noting that no hysteresis is observed in the considered range of $V_G$ between 0 and $-0.7$ V. From the $n$ versus $V_G$ dependence, we estimate that complete depletion of the 2DEG should occur at $V_G \sim -0.525$ V, or, possibly, at slightly larger negative voltage if the system were to undergo a metal-insulator transition [21]. In the $V_G$ regime beyond pinch off we observe $r > 50$ M$\Omega$/sq., corresponding to a variation of nearly 5 orders of magnitude within the measurement range; concurrently, $\sigma \rightarrow 0$. Deep in the depletion regime accurate determination of resistance is limited by the measurement circuit. While we have not explicitly performed an analysis to determine residual edge resistivity, our measurements demonstrate electrostatic control of conductivity in the depleted regime at least comparable to that reported in Ref. [14] for low-density InAs 2DEGs. For the samples investigated here, HfO$_2$ and Al$_2$O$_3$ dielectrics resulted in a similar performance. Our results suggest that the use of a thin InAs cap layer coupled with the quaternary Al$_{0.8}$Ga$_{0.2}$Sb$_{0.93}$As$_{0.07}$ buffer facilitate strong electrostatic control of the conductivity.

D. Mobility versus density

We continue our investigations by analyzing the impact of the cap layer material on the scattering mechanisms of the 2DEG. In particular, we investigate $\mu$ versus $n$ at $T = 300$ mK for samples E–G (see Table II), plotted in Fig. 4. For all three samples, $\mu$ versus $n$ is nonmonotonic. At low $n$, $\mu$ first increases until $n \sim 6 - 7 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$ and then a sharp decrease is observed for $n \gtrsim 7.5 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$, corresponding to the density at which the second subband of the quantum well starts to be populated. Samples E and F present nearly identical $\mu$ variation with $n$, with a maximum mobility of $\mu = 2.1 \times 10^6$ cm$^2$/Vs at $n = 6.7 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$ [magnetotransport at this peak mobility was plotted in Fig. 2(a) for sample E], indicating again that the GaSb and AlGaSbAs cap layers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Cap layer</th>
<th>Top barrier</th>
<th>As-cooled $n$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>AlGaSbAs (3 nm)</td>
<td>20 nm</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>GaSb (2 nm)</td>
<td>20 nm</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>InAs (2 nm)</td>
<td>20 nm</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>AlGaSbAs (3 nm)</td>
<td>60 nm</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE II. Samples contain a lower barrier of 20 nm of Al$_{0.8}$Ga$_{0.2}$Sb and a 24-nm InAs quantum well. Sample differences are the thickness of the top barrier (Al$_{0.8}$Ga$_{0.2}$Sb) and the cap layer material. The last column is the quantum well density upon initial cooling of the samples with no applied gate bias in units of $10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$.

FIG. 4. Mobility $\mu$ vs $n$ for different cap layers, samples E–G. Dotted, dashed, and dash-dotted lines correspond to different values of $\alpha$ assuming the relation $\mu \propto n^\alpha$. 
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are playing a similar role. Note that these results appear to compare favorably with Ref. [8], in which a peak mobility of $\mu \sim 2.4 \times 10^6 \text{cm}^2/\text{Vs}$ was measured but at nearly twice the density of $n \sim 12 \times 10^{11} \text{cm}^{-2}$ in a 400-$\mu$m Hall bar. The $\mu$ versus $n$ dependence of sample G is visibly different in both its dependence and lower peak mobility of $\mu \sim 8.2 \times 10^5 \text{cm}^2/\text{V}\text{s}$, for which the magnetotransport data are presented in Fig. 3(b).

At low temperature and high carrier density where semi-classical Boltzmann transport theory is valid, the relation $\mu \propto n^\alpha$ is often used to characterize the dominant scattering mechanisms [22]. The value of $\alpha$ reflects the distribution of charged point impurities, which can be localized in a plane parallel to the 2DEG (e.g. $\delta$ doping or a nearby surface) or distributed uniformly in bulk of the structure. More specifically, $\alpha \to 0.5$ in the case where the mobility is limited by uniformly distributed three-dimensional ionized background impurities and $\alpha \to 1.5$ when remote ionized impurity scattering is the limiting factor [22]. Assuming $\mu \propto n^\alpha$, for both samples E and F we estimate $\alpha \sim 0.7\text{–}0.75$, similar to Ref. [8]; the gray dotted line in Fig. 4 overlaid on the data for $\alpha = 0.725$ is a guide to the eye of the estimated relationship. At first blush this relationship is surprising considering that the 2DEG resides just $\sim 20$ nm below the dielectric/semiconductor interface where a high density of charged defects may be expected. Repeating the same exercise for sample G, an exponent $\alpha \sim 1.2\text{–}1.4$ is extracted. In this study we have determined using a log-log scale (not shown here) and $\mu(n)$ has been fitted on a limited $n$ range for $n \lesssim 6 \times 10^{11} \text{cm}^{-2}$, below the onset of the second subband occupation. While our values of $\alpha$ are only estimations, it is nevertheless clear that differences in behavior are observed. Differences in peak mobility value and in $\alpha$ between samples E and F and sample G strongly indicate different limiting scattering mechanisms for the structures terminated with Sb-containing layers compared to InAs cap layers. These observations suggest that with Sb-based cap layers the 2DEG is well-screened from ionized impurity scattering sites residing at the sample surface that is located only $\sim 20$ nm away. The formation of a weakly conducting layer under negative gate bias between the gate and the 2DEG could explain such behavior. A screening layer may also explain the lack of full 2DEG depletion; once enough charge is induced to fully screen the electric field of the gate, the 2DEG density saturates. This mechanism is consistent with our observations of a high-mobility 2DEG persisting beyond the range of linear gate voltage control.

### E. Low-density devices

Sample H incorporates a 60-nm top barrier and an Al$_{0.3}$Ga$_{0.7}$Sb$_{0.93}$As$_{0.07}$ cap layer. The as-cooled density drops to $n = 3.7 \times 10^{11} \text{cm}^{-2}$, more than 2 times lower than for sample E, where the only difference between the two is an additional 40 nm of the same top barrier material. As we observed a negligible amount of structural disorder in the buffer layer [see STEM image of Fig. 1(c)] and our samples lack an intentional doping layer, it is reasonable to assume that the charge in the well is primarily supplied by the surface such an increase in the top barrier thickness results in a decrease in the density transferred to the quantum well, in agreement with our density measurements on samples E and H.

Even at this low starting density, sample H exhibits a high mobility of $\mu = 4.1 \times 10^5 \text{cm}^2/\text{V}\text{s}$ at $n = 3.7 \times 10^{11} \text{cm}^{-2}$. In Fig. 5 (a) we plot the low field magnetoresistance after removal of a slowly varying background $\Delta \rho$, where the high quality of the 2DEG is apparent in the onset of the Shubnikov–de Haas oscillations (SdHOs), occurring at 90 mT. As a lower bound we estimate the quantum scattering time, $\tau_q \sim 2 \text{ ps}$, from the condition $\omega_q \tau_q \sim 1$, where $\omega_q = m^*/eB$ evaluated at the onset of the SdHOs and $m^* = 0.03 m_e$ [21]. Additionally, $\Delta \rho$ contains a well-defined node. Fast Fourier transform of the magnetoresistance of Fig. 5(a) is plotted in Fig. 5(b) as a function of frequency $f$. We observe a single split peak indicative of two nearly identical oscillation periods due to zero-field spin splitting. The spin-up and spin-down densities are labeled $n_+$ and $n_-$, respectively [7,23], and can be determined from $n_\pm = e f / \pi$. From these two densities we estimate the Rashba parameter, $\alpha_c = \frac{\Delta n}{n_+ - n_-} \frac{\bar{\Delta}_m}{\bar{\Delta}_F} = 3.39 \times 10^{-12} \text{ eV m}$, where $\Delta n = n_+ - n_-$ and $n_T = n_+ + n_-$. Concurrently, the spin-orbit length is also estimated through $\ell_{SO} = \frac{1}{\alpha_c} \left( \frac{\pi^2 \hbar^2}{2m^*} \right)^{1/2} = 379 \text{ nm}$.

Interestingly, despite the use of a AlGaSbAs cap layer in sample H, the $n$ vs $V_G$ variation was completely linear and we were able to fully deplete the carriers at $V_G = -0.5 \text{ V}$ (data not shown here). The as-cooled $V_G = 0 \text{ V}$ density of sample H was only $3.7 \times 10^{11} \text{cm}^{-2}$. Evidently, the reduced negative gate voltage required for depletion of the low-density 2DEG in sample H limits interfacial charge accumulation under the gate. This behavior contrasts with our previous observations for sample E with higher as-cooled density in which 2DEG density saturated for $V_G \leq -0.7 \text{ V}$ without full depletion. Possible explanations for this behavior are discussed below.

### IV. DISCUSSION

For InAs 2DEGs on GaSb substrates, careful consideration of both the buffer layer and cap layer is needed to produce electrical isolation of devices from the substrate and full...
electrostatic control with lithographic gates while maintaining high 2DEG mobility. Only in the case of an InAs cap layer have we experimentally demonstrated full carrier depletion for near-surface InAs 2DEGs of arbitrary density.

Band-structure simulations with NEXTNANO suggest that the conduction band of InAs cap layers may cross the Fermi level. Keeping the InAs thickness below 2 nm is thus important to avoid unintentional population of states at the surface. A depletion range on the order of a few hundred millivolts can be estimated before the Fermi level crosses the valence band of the Al$_0$$_8$Ga$_0$$_2$Sb$_0$$_9$As$_0$$_7$ barriers. In simulations, the gate voltage response in structures employing an Al$_0$$_8$Ga$_0$$_2$Sb$_0$$_9$As$_0$$_7$ cap layer appears to be very similar to what is observed with an InAs cap layer and a depletion range of the same order of magnitude is expected. The simulated gate voltage response with a GaSb cap behaves differently; the conduction-band minimum of the InAs quantum well and the valence-band maximum of the GaSb can coincide with the Fermi level under reverse bias. In this case, a hole gas may occur near the semiconductor surface. Such a hole gas is likely to act as a screening layer between the metallic gate and the 2DEG, limiting gate response for further increase of reverse bias.

While the gate voltage dependence of the band structure might explain the incomplete depletion with GaSb cap layer, the situation is less clear for the AlGaSb cap layer. A major difference between Sb-containing and As-based cap layers is the potential of oxidation and formation of charged states at the surface. AlSb-containing alloys are particularly prone to oxidation and formation of charged states at the surface. Such a hole gas is likely to act as a screening layer between the metallic gate and the 2DEG, limiting gate response for further increase of reverse bias.

In conclusion, we have shown that near-surface InAs 2DEGs grown on GaSb substrates utilizing an Al$_0$$_8$Ga$_0$$_2$Sb$_0$$_9$As$_0$$_7$ buffer and an InAs cap layer exhibit all the properties required to operate quantum devices: proper isolation from the substrate, strong electrostatic control of 2DEG density, and high mobility. Low-density structures, with thicker top barriers, are promising for experiments involving the coupling of superconductivity and integer quantum Hall effect at very low magnetic fields [25], or investigations of the fractional quantum Hall effect using readily available superconducting magnets [9].
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